

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
-United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,751	06/22/2001	Steven S. Kantner	55980USAIB.004 7737		
759	90 12/04/2002				
Attention: Robert W. Sprague Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER		
3M Innovative F	Properties Company		YU, GI	YU, GINA C	
P.O. Box 33427 St. Paul, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002 <b>4</b>		
				لــ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	ı		
	09/887,751	KANTNER ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	$\dashv$		
	Gina C. Yu	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avitant rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONE roid abandonment of this appli a a timely filed amendment whi (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 Of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for replace later than three months after the m	ing date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 October 2002</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>					
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:	×-			
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	P		
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the	ĺ		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: 9.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:		Holmul !			
		REENI PADMANABHAN 11/27/07	_		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The specific limitation in the proposed amendment claim 1 (b) is new and requires new search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection is maintained as indicated in the previous Office action dated June 3, 2002. Applicants' argument is based on the proposed claim amendment which will not be entered at this time. Furthermore, examiner notes that it is erroneous that patentable weight should be given to "improved film formation", as there is no such limitation. The pending claim 1 recites "composition capable of forming hydrophobic film". There is no limitation on improvement of film formation. The argument is not commensurate with the scope of the claim.

SREENI PADMANABHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1) 27 CL